## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 TRUE HEALTH CHIROPRACTIC, No. C-13-02219 DMR 12 Plaintiff(s), ORDER DENYING JOINT DISCOVERY 13 LETTER [DOCKET NO. 76] WITHOUT v. **PREJUDICE** 14 MCKESSON CORPORATION, 15 Defendant(s). 16 17 The parties have filed a joint discovery letter before Judge Tigar. [Docket No. 76.] The motion should have been addressed to Judge Ryu, to whom Judge Tigar has referred all discovery 18 19 matters in this case. See Docket No. 47. This court's standing order requires parties to meet and 20 confer to try to resolve their disagreements prior to filing a joint discovery letter. See Docket No. 21 48. Defendant avers that Plaintiff has not met and conferred. See Docket No. 76 at 5. 22 Accordingly, the joint discovery letter is **denied without prejudice.** The parties shall meet 23 and confer following the procedures in the standing order. If they are unable to resolve this dispute

without judicial intervention, they shall file a joint discovery letter by **June 23, 2014.** 

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 12, 2014

24

25

26

27

28

DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge